

COUNTY INDIGENT HEALTH CARE PROGRAM

YEAR to DATE SUMMARY for FY 2008

Summary of Requested and Reimbursed State Assistance

	<i>Requested for Month</i>	<i>Total Requested</i>	<i>Reimbursed for Month</i>	<i>Total Reimbursed</i>
<i>April</i>	\$16,088.71	\$16,088.71		
<i>May</i>	\$43,116.74	\$59,205.45		\$0.00
<i>June</i>	\$228,863.05	\$288,068.50	\$47,613.66	\$47,613.66
<i>July</i>	\$189,633.14	\$477,701.64	\$240,454.84	\$288,068.50
<i>August</i>	\$869,243.90	\$1,346,945.54	\$217,558.62	\$505,627.12
<i>September</i>		\$1,346,945.54	\$535,487.58	\$1,041,114.70
<i>October</i>		\$1,346,945.54	\$305,830.84	\$1,346,945.54

FY 2008 State Assistance by County

County	Total Requested	Total Reimbursed	Number of Requests
ATASCOSA	\$570,274.45	\$570,274.45	9
CALLAHAN	\$1,790.78	\$1,790.78	1
EASTLAND	\$67,965.26	\$67,965.26	5
KINNEY	\$44,559.97	\$44,559.97	1
KLEBERG	\$273,543.08	\$273,543.08	4
LAMAR	\$77,041.82	\$77,041.82	2
LASALLE	\$16,223.70	\$16,223.70	1
RED RIVER	\$19,663.62	\$19,663.62	1
TRINITY	\$28,751.64	\$28,751.64	3
WHARTON	\$86,535.31	\$86,535.31	6
ZAVALA	\$160,595.91	\$160,595.91	1

FY 2008 County Payment Distributions Summary

County Payments Distribution	Year to Date	Year to Date %
A. Physicians Services	\$8,853,023.67	15.80%
B. Prescription Drugs	\$8,547,976.74	15.25%
C. Hospital Inpatient Services	\$18,180,942.30	32.44%
D. Hospital Outpatient Services	\$11,756,885.52	20.98%
E. Lab/X-ray Services	\$2,611,106.30	4.66%
F. Skilled Nursing Facility	\$148,043.52	0.26%
G. Family Planning Services	\$384,638.02	0.69%
H. Rural Health Clinic Services	\$739,020.04	1.32%
I. State Hospital Contract	\$3,308,807.19	5.90%
J. Optional Services	\$1,506,474.78	2.69%
Sub-Total County Payments	\$56,036,918.08	100.00%
County Reimbursements	\$4,011,921.50	
Net County Payments	\$52,024,996.58	

Number of Counties Reporting

September	108
October	110
November	111
December	109
January	110
February	109
March	105
April	106
May	105
June	103
July	100
August	101

COUNTY INDIGENT HEALTH CARE SPENDING

For FY 2008

County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
ANGELINA	2006	\$1,109,968.24	\$309,613.77	2.23%	12
ARANSAS	2006	\$527,018.32	\$518,820.41	7.88%	12
ARCHER	2006	\$174,294.24	\$20,028.44	0.92%	12
ARMSTRONG	2004	\$26,715.12	\$0.00	0.00%	12
ATASCOSA	2006	\$841,264.56	\$1,411,539.02	13.42%	12
AUSTIN	2004	\$230,166.64	\$74,322.80	2.58%	12
BAILEY	2006	\$8,751.12			0
BANDERA	2006	\$494,465.76	\$282,523.92	4.57%	11
BASTROP	2006	\$1,494,908.16	\$819,979.83	4.39%	12
BELL	2006	\$3,904,171.76	\$1,705,633.97	3.49%	12
BLANCO	2006	\$235,697.60	\$110,291.38	3.74%	12
BORDEN	2006	\$129,605.36	\$0.00	0.00%	9
BOSQUE	2006	\$278,433.36	\$54,902.57	1.58%	12
BOWIE	2006	\$1,241,326.80			0
BRAZORIA	2006	\$3,846,220.40	\$782,537.81	1.63%	12
BRAZOS	2006	\$3,521,492.24	\$805,792.71	1.83%	12
BRISCOE	2006	\$49,397.92			0
BROOKS	2007	\$334,399.20			0
BROWN	2006	\$637,718.24	\$236,057.77	2.96%	12
BURNET	2006	\$865,692.24	\$316,615.01	2.93%	12
CALDWELL	2006	\$742,771.36	\$221,413.94	2.38%	12
CALLAHAN	2006	\$88,919.20	\$90,709.98	8.16%	12
CAMERON	2006	\$2,901,496.48			0
CAMP	2006	\$182,182.72			0
CARSON	2006	\$173,150.32	\$0.00	0.00%	9
CASS	2005	\$409,211.20	\$141,388.36	2.76%	12
CHAMBERS	2006	\$1,594,532.88	\$114,867.99	0.58%	12
CHEROKEE	2004	\$587,619.04	\$53,663.69	0.73%	12
COLLIN	2006	\$196,507.44			0
COLORADO	2006	\$281,046.24	\$106,187.19	3.02%	12
COMAL	2006	\$2,103,879.28	\$1,508,007.40	5.73%	12
COMANCHE	2005	\$3,417.20	\$0.00	0.00%	12
CORYELL	2005	\$599,495.92	\$425,652.54	5.68%	12
COTTLE	2004	\$51,460.08	\$0.00	0.00%	12
CROCKETT	2006	\$585,890.24	\$50,283.16	0.69%	12
DELTA	2006	\$97,036.64			0
DENTON	2006	\$6,778,150.88	\$1,267,612.41	1.50%	12
DEWITT	2006	\$91,623.12	\$57,557.40	5.03%	12
DICKENS	2006	\$34,156.40	\$11,267.19	2.64%	12
DUVAL	2006	\$538,928.32			0
EASTLAND	2006	\$90,738.88	\$158,704.14	13.99%	12
EDWARDS	2003	\$75,718.48			0

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures.

County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
ELLIS	2006	\$2,189,084.48	\$488,748.30	1.79%	12
ERATH	2006	\$641,392.24			0
FALLS	2006	\$280,889.76	\$276,382.29	7.87%	12
FANNIN	2006	\$317,326.24	\$232,295.39	5.86%	12
FAYETTE	2005	\$498,914.56	\$237,230.87	3.80%	7
FORT BEND	2005	\$9,417,183.04	\$1,486,571.40	1.26%	6
FREESTONE	2005	\$121,264.96	\$34,962.24	2.31%	10
FRIO	1999	\$37,257.76			0
GAINES	2006	\$727,007.92	\$18,598.30	0.20%	12
GALVESTON	2006	\$7,968,244.40	\$3,320,424.94	3.33%	12
GILLESPIE	2005	\$573,785.12	\$129,563.98	1.81%	11
GLASSCOCK	2006	\$196,614.24	\$0.00	0.00%	12
GOLIAD	2006	\$466,387.92	\$28,715.77	0.49%	12
GRAY	2006	\$438,174.48	\$130,343.21	2.38%	12
GRAYSON	2006	\$1,898,110.64	\$1,468,782.35	6.19%	11
GUADALUPE	2006	\$1,948,212.48	\$1,763,755.54	7.24%	11
HALE	2006	\$703,565.36	\$133,778.01	1.52%	6
HAMILTON	2006	\$10,589.12	\$0.00	0.00%	12
HARDIN	2006	\$689,000.16	\$48,726.99	0.57%	12
HARRISON	2006	\$1,442,747.44	\$862,075.55	4.78%	12
HAYS	2006	\$3,223,464.96			0
HENDERSON	2000	\$875,076.64	\$33,770.83	0.31%	3
HIDALGO	2006	\$10,078,378.08	\$4,344,258.50	3.45%	5
HILL	2006	\$623,231.60	\$237,893.65	3.05%	11
HOWARD	2006	\$545,080.24	\$40,976.91	0.60%	12
HUDSPETH	2006	\$145,162.40			0
IRION	2006	\$132,163.68	\$7,676.80	0.46%	5
JASPER	2006	\$357,127.92	\$337,607.95	7.56%	12
JEFF DAVIS	2006	\$89,139.92			0
JEFFERSON	2006	\$6,994,781.60	\$3,445,655.70	3.94%	11
JIM HOGG	2006	\$326,673.76	\$70,181.48	1.72%	9
JIM WELLS	2006	\$845,854.00	\$815,871.88	7.72%	12
JOHNSON	2006	\$2,128,074.32	\$607,714.26	2.28%	12
JONES	2006	\$83,480.96	\$42,918.54	4.11%	12
KAUFMAN	2005	\$76,210.96	\$264,946.80	27.81%	12
KENDALL	2006	\$995,846.32	\$34,351.56	0.28%	12
KENEDY	2006	\$265,138.00			0
KENT	2006	\$132,310.88	\$11,181.21	0.68%	12
KERR	2006	\$1,951,273.04	\$990,694.81	4.06%	11
KING	2006	\$156,221.36			0
KINNEY	2006	\$88,171.04	\$132,731.01	12.04%	12
KLEBERG	2006	\$739,555.44	\$1,013,098.52	10.96%	12
LAMAR	2006	\$921,733.28	\$999,118.98	8.67%	12
LAMPASAS	2005	\$314,640.96			0

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures.

County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
LASALLE	2006	\$141,538.72	\$157,762.42	8.92%	12
LAVACA	2006	\$68,868.24			0
LEE	2006	\$418,808.24	\$112,574.28	2.15%	12
LEON	2005	\$515,851.84	\$157,654.97	2.44%	12
LIBERTY	2006	\$650,573.68	\$243,938.30	3.00%	12
LIMESTONE	2006	\$106,557.92	\$83,727.87	6.29%	12
LIVE OAK	2006	\$320,162.40			0
LOVING	2006	\$207,316.32	\$0.00	0.00%	12
MADISON	2006	\$252,848.72	\$79,498.90	2.52%	12
MASON	2006	\$83,338.24	\$3,114.78	0.30%	12
MCLENNAN	2006	\$4,068,903.92	\$1,506,607.09	2.96%	12
MCMULLEN	2006	\$157,173.04	\$13,695.43	0.70%	11
MEDINA	2006	\$660,313.12	\$359,520.93	4.36%	12
MILAM	2005	\$592,791.52	\$453,231.93	6.12%	11
MILLS	2006	\$95,250.08	\$48,768.25	4.10%	12
MONTAGUE	2006	\$141,854.40	\$117,722.56	6.64%	12
MORRIS	2006	\$198,798.00	\$118,975.81	4.79%	12
NAVARRO	2006	\$848,454.24	\$402,337.14	3.79%	12
NEWTON	2006	\$235,818.24	\$92,145.59	3.13%	12
OLDHAM	2006	\$69,447.76			0
ORANGE	2006	\$1,893,275.36	\$397,450.00	1.68%	11
POLK	2006	\$729,879.84	\$111,082.96	1.22%	11
RAINS	2003	\$188,957.60			0
RANDALL	2006	\$199,396.88	\$55,828.95	2.24%	12
REAL	2006	\$122,997.60	\$31,911.94	2.08%	10
RED RIVER	2006	\$187,372.72	\$207,036.34	8.84%	12
ROBERTS	2006	\$102,831.92	\$0.00	0.00%	11
ROBERTSON	2006	\$851,823.04	\$207,255.36	1.95%	12
ROCKWALL	2004	\$1,250,712.48	\$137,939.13	0.88%	12
RUNNELS	2006	\$19,390.56	\$0.00	0.00%	12
RUSK	2003	\$669,210.56			0
SAN JACINTO	2006	\$352,341.36	\$85,657.00	1.94%	12
SAN PATRICIO	2006	\$1,244,003.76	\$407,240.74	2.62%	12
SAN SABA	2006	\$113,114.08			0
SHELBY	2006	\$256,287.92			0
SMITH	2006	\$3,594,551.84			0
SOMERVELL	2006	\$513,074.00	\$175,998.62	2.74%	10
STERLING	2005	\$160,752.32	\$0.00	0.00%	12
TAYLOR	2006	\$2,052,012.48	\$1,159,567.65	4.52%	12
TERRELL	2006	\$212,020.40	\$0.00	0.00%	12
TOM GREEN	2006	\$1,920,942.00	\$1,288,048.32	5.36%	12
TRINITY	2006	\$53,347.36	\$82,099.00	12.31%	12
UPSHUR	2006	\$755,825.20			0
UVALDE	2006	\$414,633.52	\$164,707.13	3.18%	12

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures.

County Spending Compared To General Revenue Tax Levy (GRTL)

COUNTY	LAST YEAR GRTL REPORTED	8% of LAST GRTL REPORTED	COUNTY REPORTED SPENDING	PERCENTAGE of GRTL EXPENDED	# OF MONTHS REPORTED
VAN ZANDT	2006	\$531,889.76			0
WALLER	2004	\$793,081.20	\$206,827.93	2.09%	12
WASHINGTON	2006	\$915,496.88	\$223,070.79	1.95%	12
WEBB	2006	\$4,857,124.80	\$906,030.17	1.49%	12
WHARTON	2006	\$347,523.68	\$434,058.99	9.99%	12
WICHITA	2005	\$1,782,495.36	\$808,086.00	3.63%	12
WILLIAMSON	2006	\$10,191,394.16	\$2,658,068.77	2.09%	12
WISE	2006	\$1,406,400.72	\$635,675.72	3.62%	12
WOOD	2006	\$679,821.68			0
YOUNG	2006	\$257,600.40			0
ZAPATA	2006	\$1,740,711.12	\$1,674,639.11	7.70%	12
ZAVALA	2006	\$107,264.48	\$267,860.39	19.98%	12

Clarification of report: The report is a compilation of monthly reports from counties that are not covered by hospital districts or public hospitals to determine when counties may be eligible for state assistance funds. There is no set percentage of GRTL expenditures mandated by Chapter 61, Health and Safety Code. However, to be eligible for state assistance funds, a county must spend in a state fiscal year at least eight percent of the county's general revenue on eligible county residents. Expenditures do not reflect audited figures.